

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/713,445	11/14/2003	David Alan Burton	END9-2002-0061US1 9621		
45216 Kunzler & McI	7590 11/20/200 Kenzie	EXAMINER			
8 EAST BROA	DWAY	WALTER, CRAIG E			
SUITE 600 SALT LAKE C	CITY, UT 84111	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2188		
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/20/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/713,445	BURTON ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Craig E. Walter	2188	

	Craig E. Walter		2188	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sh	eet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>09 November 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE T			•	
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or this application, applicant must timely file one of the fo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compli time periods:	on the same day as fili llowing replies: (1) an a Notice of Appeal (with a	ng a Notice of A mendment, affic appeal fee) in c	Appeal. To avoid aba davit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cf	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a)	is Advisory Action, or (2) th	he date set forth i	in the final rejection, wh	ichever is later. In
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPE	or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX		-	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The delaye been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office I may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704 NOTICE OF APPEAL	ate on which the petition u f extension and the corresp he shortened statutory per ater than three months afte	oonding amount of iod for reply origin	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Office	ate extension fee ce action: or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in confiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed. AMENDMENTS	xtension thereof (37 CF	R 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
B. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection	on, but prior to the date	of filing a brief.	will not be entered be	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE b				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in appeal; and/or	better form for appeal b	y materially red	ducing or simplifying t	he issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling	a corresponding numb	er of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR	, , ,			
1. \square The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR $^\circ$	1.121. See attached No	tice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. 🔲 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejectior	n(s):			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be non-allowable claim(s). 	e allowable if submitted	in a separate, t	limely filed amendme	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): how the new or amended claims would be rejected is particles. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-26 and 28</u> .		•		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).				
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of fill entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necess	to overcome <u>all</u> rejection	ns under appea	al and/or appellant fail	ls to provide a
I0. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explana	ation of the status of the	claims after en	itry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER				
 The request for reconsideration has been considered 	but does NOT place th	e application in	condition for allowar	ice because:
 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) 	s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper i	No(s)		
 Other: Note attached Interview Summary. 		,	11	
	4	/	1:1	>
	HYUNG G. SOUGI	Н	Croin E Malter	
SUPÉ	AVISOR PITENT F	YAMINER	Craig E Walter Examiner Art Unit	2188

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant changed the scope of the independent claims after Examiner closed prosecution with the Office action made FINAL 31 October 2007. Further search and consideration of the new claim limitations and remarks is required to determine if application is in condition for allowance.